Introduction

The use of ceramics in the form of veneers or crowns was, for a long time, considered the only satisfactory and durable solution to the aesthetic deficiencies of the smile, in young as well as adult patients. This hegemony of ceramics which, for that matter tends to linger, is favored by the dental industry that invests significant amounts of money to promote its materials and new technologies, without always showing a lot of consideration for the biomechanics of the healthy tooth.

The sheer aesthetic criteria must, therefore, be weighed against the biological and mechanical fundamental principles of the natural tooth in order to ensure the longevity of the restorations on one hand, and preserve the vitality and the integrity of the dental organ on the other hand. Thus, these considerations have been encouraging us for a long time to consider direct bonding techniques as a first choice alternative for the treatment of aesthetics deficiencies of the young smile especially, and in general, every time the extent of the defects allows it.1–6

The improvement of the aesthetic properties of restorative composite materials based on the model of the natural tooth5–9, also permitted to make direct restorations available to everyone, since they are no longer the prerogative of gifted clinicians trained to complex stratification techniques, inaccessible to the general practitioner.

Indeed, several systems have been developed during these past ten years, building on the ‘Natural Layering Concept’, consisting of only two basic layers (dentin and enamel) and an appropriate shade guide. The clinical protocols logically followed a simplification and an increase in reliability, which bodes well for our profession, always under economic pressure. Moreover, clinical results in the medium and long term about the use of direct composite as an aesthetic correction material, proved to be reliable.10–12 The goal of this article is, therefore, to present two clinical cases that illustrate the direct therapeutic approach and the aesthetic potential of composite systems based on the ‘Natural Layering Concept’.

Clinical cases

Case 1—Diastema closure

This first case presents a simple application of direct bonding for diastema closure in a young patient also showing a dark dentin shade, as well as a mild fluorosis especially visible on incisal edges and canine tips (Fig. 1). Given the age of the patient (15 years old), it was decided not to treat the fluorosis, which would have made whitening necessary, but also critical in view of the risks of sensitivity (Figs. 1–4). The treatment was carried out under rubber dam to ensure the quality of the bonding in the proximal areas, juxta-gingival and also for safety and comfort of work. The enamel surfaces were only prepared by sandblasting (aluminum oxide 25 μm) before phosphoric acid etching (H3PO4 35–37 %) for 45–60 seconds, given the fluorosis. The bonding procedure was carried out with a multicomponent system (OptiBond FL, Kerr) before the direct application of the composite in two layers, plus the application of an effect shade (inspiro system, EdelweissDR).

The stratification started with a layer of dentin (Body i3, inspiro) on the distal surfaces of the upper lateral incisors and on the mesial face of the right canine. A semi-opaque white effect shade (Ice, inspiro) applied on the dentin layer enabled to imitate the fluorosis stains and to improve the restoration mimicry; (Figs. 5 & 6). A layer of enamel (Skin White, inspiro) allowed to complete the restorations and perfect their aesthetic integration. The ‘Natural Layering Concept’ was followed to carry out this treatment, based on a bi-laminar application of the composite and...
Case report: adhesive restorations

The case report focuses on two cases involving adhesive restorations that accurately imitate the optical characteristics of natural tissues (Figs. 7–11). The second case, which involved extensive reconstruction of the smile, required a more thorough diagnostic phase and presented a more complex application of direct bonding (Figs. 12 & 13). A preliminary orthodontic consultation led to the recommendation of an essentially restorative solution due to the patient’s hypodontia of the four upper incisors and generalised mild to moderate fluorosis (Type III according to the classification of Thilstrup and Fejerskov) (Figs. 12 & 13). The use of a diagnostic wax-up and guided intraoral mock-up ensured the therapeutic choice and allowed an aesthetic preview (Figs. 14 & 15).

The treatment was also realised under a rubber dam, using interdental matrix, a silicone key, and a caliper for precise control of dimensions and dental proportions (Figs. 16 & 17). The restorations were carried out by applying three shades like for the first case (dentin: Body i2, effect shade: Azur, enamel: Skin White, inspiro) (Figs. 18 & 19). The treatment was completed over two clinical sessions due to comfort considerations. Figures 20 and 21 summarise the positive aesthetic impact of the treatment, as well as the stability of the result two years later (Fig. 22).

The difference between the two cases illustrates the versatility of bonding, with the second case requiring a more thorough diagnostic phase. However, the use of direct composites has become unavoidable in aesthetic dentistry, particularly for young patients undergoing aesthetic transformations of restored teeth. This evolution highlights the aesthetic improvement of materials and the simplification of clinical protocols. This article summarises the indications and advantages of the ‘Natural Layering Concept’ to reliable and highly aesthetic results.

Reliable and aesthetic results

The use of direct composites has thus become unavoidable in aesthetic dentistry, particularly for young patients undergoing aesthetic transformations of restored teeth. This evolution highlights the aesthetic improvement of materials and the simplification of clinical protocols. This article summarises the indications and advantages of the ‘Natural Layering Concept’ to reliable and highly aesthetic results.

Figs. 1–4

Preoperative extra and intraoral views of a young patient showing bilateral diastemas, complicated by a mild fluorosis.

Figs. 5 & 6

A direct approach has naturally been followed in this case, with the restorations including a dentin shade (Body i3), an effect shade (Ice) and an enamel (Skin White, inspiro).

Figs. 7 & 8

Final intraoral views showing the good integration of the restorations.
General views summing up the therapeutic approach and the clinical procedures carried out under a rubber dam. The aesthetic integration is facilitated by the application of a concept of bi-laminar stratification, easy to implement and predictable, ideal for the treatment of aesthetic deficiencies of the young smile.

_Figs. 14 & 15

Wax-up and intraoral resin model according to the wax-up used to confirm the aesthetic and functional configuration planned on models.

_Figs. 16 & 17

Intraoperative views and restorative procedures under a rubber dam in order to control the quality of the bonding and its longevity. View of the proximal dentine set-up on the 12.

_Figs. 18 & 19

View at the end of the first session showing the new anatomy of the four incisors transformed by direct technic (dentin: Body i2, effect shade: Azur, enamel: Skin White, inspiro).

_Figs. 20–22

Final extra and intraoral views and after two years. The direct approach, without reparation, represents an unequaled therapeutic advance for the aesthetic treatment of young patients and of the smile without any other form of pathology.
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_Figs. 9–11

Preoperative extra and intraoral views of a young patient showing a hypoplasia of anterior teeth.